

In general, 'ethics' is defined as the ideals, values or standards that people use to determine whether their actions are good or bad. It is what society uses to judge whether an issue or thing is acceptable and justifiable and determines responsibility and justice (Thompson, 2001). Ethics provide guidelines that help one decide what is the right thing to do.

On one hand, ethics is a set of universal norms that are documented through legal or professional codes of practice, religious texts, literature and philosophy. On the other hand, ethics are values defined by a person or groups that are personal, introspective, and hence, difficult to manage for public discussion (Thompson, 2001). Discussion within the agricultural realm is necessary to determine what is right and wrong, what moral standard is or should be used, and why it is the proper one to justify singular or collective acts. Ethics in agricultural biotechnology therefore encompass values judgments that cover the production, processing, and distribution of food and agricultural products. The Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations asserts that ethical values determine its reason for being these being the values for food, enhanced well-being, human health, natural resources, and nature (FAO, 2001).



## What is agricultural ethics?

## Conclusion

Despite the diversity of ethical issues in agricultural biotechnology, there is a need to understand beliefs and doctrines as this allows coexistence within and across societies, and prevents social conflict. A technology's acceptance is based not only on technological soundness but on how it is perceived to be socially, politically, and economically feasible from the viewpoint of disparate groups. An understanding of ethics helps determine what information is needed by society and how to deal with different opinions. A process of negotiation based on trust is essential to enable stakeholders to participate in debates and decision making.

## References

- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology. 2005. Agricultural Ethics. Issue Paper No. 29. Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2001. Report of the Panel of Eminent Experts on Ethics in Food and Agriculture. FAO First Session, September 26-28, 2001. Rome, Italy.
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. 2001. Ethical issues in food and

- agriculture. FAO Ethics Series 1. Rome, Italy. Kinderlerer, Julian and Mike Adcock. 2003. Agricultural biotechnology, policies, ethics, and policy. Working Paper No. 3 prepared for the first meeting of the FANRPAN/IFPRI Regional Policy Dialogue on Biotechnology, Agriculture, and Food Security in Southern Africa. Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Malaysian Biotechnology Centre. 2004. Biotechnology and religion: Are they compatible? BICNews. Petaling Jaya, Malaysia.
- Paula, Lino. 2001. Ethics: The key to public acceptance of biotechnology? Biotechnology and Development Monitor. No. 47. The Network University, Amsterdam, the Netherlands.
- Proceedings: International Workshop for Islamic Scholars on Agribiotechnology: Shariah Compliance. Georgetown, Penang, Malaysia (1-2 December 2010). Malaysia Biotechnology Information Center: Selangor, Malaysia; International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA): Laguna, Philippines.
- Thompson, Paul. 2001. Food and agricultural biotechnology: Incorporating ethical considerations. <http://www.agriculture.purdue.edu/agbiotech/Thompsonpaper/Thompson3.html>.
- Vatican. 2000. Jubilee of the Agricultural World. <http://www.vatican.va>.
- Vatican. 2004. Compendium of the Social Doctrine of the Church. <http://www.vatican.va>.

CAST (2005) notes that ultimately the goal of agricultural ethics is to "discover or develop clear, noncontradictory, comprehensive, and universal standards for judging right and wrong actions and policies."

Through the advancement of technology, scientists have been able to develop more precise and powerful tools to produce crops and animals with selected traits that aim to benefit farmers and consumers. Similar to other emerging technologies, biotechnology has instigated worldwide debate and confusion as a result of mixed messages from various people - be they scientists, academics, critics, industry, religious representatives or consumer bodies. The worldwide debate on the pros and cons of biotechnology have been likened to a battleground and a prominent place for virtually every ethical concern. It has stirred conflicting ideas and opinions and has polarized sectors not only among stakeholders but even between countries. While agriculture has long been a topic of philosophical, religious and political reflection, it is only in the late 20th century that systematic thinking about the values and norms associated with the food system, such as farming, food processing, distribution, trade, and consumption, began to be discussed in the context of agricultural ethics (CAST, 2005). In addition, by placing biotechnology in the light of globalization, societal debate has moved towards a discussion of ethical and social impacts (Paula, 2001). In 2000, the Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly recommended that it was increasingly important to include ethical considerations centered on humankind, society and the environment in deliberations regarding developments and applications in biotechnology, life sciences, and technology. A year later, the United Kingdom's Royal Society Report asserted that "public debate about genetic modified food must take account of wider issues than the science alone" (Kinderlerer and Adcock, 2003).

Pocket Ks are Pockets of Knowledge, packaged information on crop biotechnology products and related issues available at your fingertips. They are produced by the Global Knowledge Center on Crop Biotechnology (<http://www.isaaa.org/kc>). For more information, please contact the International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) SEAsiaCenter c/o IRRRI, DAPO Box 7777, Metro Manila, Philippines. Tel: +63 49 5367933 Telefax: +63 49 5367216 E-mail: [knowledge.center@isaaa.org](mailto:knowledge.center@isaaa.org)

Updated February 2015



INTERNATIONAL SERVICE  
FOR THE ACQUISITION  
OF AGRI-BIOTECH  
APPLICATIONS



# Ethics & AGRICULTURAL BIOTECHNOLOGY

Global Knowledge Center  
on Crop Biotechnology

## What are some ethical issues raised about agricultural biotechnology?

Many of the ethical issues that form part of the biotechnology debate can apply also to food and agricultural systems in general. Accepting the need to understand and tolerate societal norms or beliefs, many statements of concern are often general and broad with little explanation about what makes them disagreeable or wrong. The following are examples of issues more clearly articulated by Kinderlerer and Adcock (2003); CAST (2005); the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (2001), and Thompson (2001).

### “Playing God”

Genetic modification is said to involve human intervention into creation and hence, is an unnatural act. Often viewed as a religious question, it avers that the technology is “so intrusive to life processes that they amount to a form of disrespect for humanity’s proper relationship to nature, a form of playing God” (Comstock cited by CAST, 2005). Some religions ascribe a particular “essence” to each living organism and hence, connect the concept of gene with the idea of essence. Others believe that biotechnology disrupts natural order and violates the limits of what humans are ethically permitted to do. Alternatively, there is the view that science and progress are good things and are God-given faculties to help mankind support life and better manage the environment.

## Religion and Agricultural Biotechnology

The religious sector, notably the Roman Catholic Church and the Muslim faith, have voiced their views on biotechnology. Islamic scholars note that Islam and science are complementary and Islam supports beneficial scientific innovations to address food security (Workshop Proceedings, 2010).



Biotechnology, in particular, becomes an issue when it entails a discourse on food. Any GM food must meet the general criterion of halalan tayyiban which means “permissible from the shariah perspective (halal) and of good quality (tayyib)”. In Malaysia, there is a fatwa (religious decree) that states that GM foods with DNA from pigs are haram (not permissible) for Muslims to eat. To date, only this fatwa has been issued (MABIC, 2004).



The Jubilee of the Agricultural World Address of John Paul II in 2000 mentioned that in agricultural production or in the case of biotechnology, it must not be evaluated solely on the basis of immediate economic interest but through rigorous scientific and ethical examination (Vatican, 2000). By October 2004, the Pontifical Council for Justice and Peace released the Compendium of the Social Doctrine of the Church which is an “overview of

the fundamental framework of the doctrinal corpus of Catholic social teaching.” Biotechnology is mentioned as having powerful social, economic, and political impact but that it should be used with prudence, objectivity, and responsibility (Vatican, 2004).

## General Welfare and Sustainability

A central issue is whether the technology considers the pursuit of the greatest good together with the concept of sustainability for farmers and the environment. While a technology can provide more food it should not be to the detriment of the environment or to human health or disrupt traditional behavioral systems. In like manner, it is an ethical issue if food that can provide more and better nutrition is not made available to those who need it most. Hence, not to use a technology that has potential to improve the quality of lives of people is also a moral issue. As an environmental issue, questions raised have to do with concerns regarding environmental protection, sustainable use of biodiversity, economic growth and social equity.



## Distribution of Benefits and Burdens



A concern particularly in developing countries is the concept of just distribution. Questions have to do with whether the products produced by the technology will be able to provide for those who really need them and whether they will generate wealth for the society as a whole. A technology’s ability to increase or decrease the gap between the rich and poor renders it an ethical issue. This includes allegations that products derived from modern biotechnology are being introduced by private companies that have an obligation to make profits. Also up for discussion is whether a technology,

while able to increase technical employment might eliminate subsistence labor as a result of replacing cultural operations.

Other concerns include exploitation or control over genetic resources, consumers’ choice and rights, and use of genetically modified animals.

## How do we deal with ethical issues?

FAO (2001) recognizes that there is no single set of ethical principles sufficient for building a more equitable and ethical food and agricultural system. However, it recommends the following actions that individuals, states, corporations and voluntary organizations in the international community can take:

- Creating the mechanisms to balance interests and resolve conflicts
- Supporting and encouraging broad stakeholder participation in policies, programs, and projects
- Encouraging individuals, communities and nations to engage in dialogue, and ultimately, to do what is ethical
- Developing and disseminating widely the information and analyses necessary to make wise and ethical decisions
- Ensuring that decision-making procedures in international food and agriculture policy are well understood and transparent
- Fostering the use of science and technology in support of a more just and equitable food and agriculture system
- Ensuring that programs, policies, standards and decisions always take ethical considerations into account so as to lead to enhanced well-being, environmental protection and improved health
- Developing codes of ethical conduct where they do not currently exist.
- Periodically reviewing ethical commitments and determining whether or not they are appropriate, in the light of new knowledge and changes in circumstances

CAST (2005) suggests the need to institutionalize agricultural ethics. This involves a deliberate move to include some consideration of ethics in the actions, decisions, and policies that stakeholders in the food system create or support. Each stakeholder has to “accept the fact that that if ethical issues are going to be understood, and if ethical conflicts are going to be resolved, it is our responsibility, within the limits of our place in the system, to understand and contribute.”