Virtual Breakout Group Session in Animal Biotechnology (Government Regulatory/ Policy Officials)

Australia, Canada, New Zealand, United States

Challenges for animal biotech

- Limited precedent / regulator experience with animal biotech
- Keeping pace with advancements in technology (regulatory approaches and definitions lagging behind science)
 - ✓ New technologies not fitting into old definitions
 - ✓ Complex to clarify and implement regulatory approaches in a timely manner
 - ✓ Shifting from process triggers to product-based approaches
- Public lack of trust in developers performing due diligence
- Ensuring safety and addressing public perception and community expectations without slowing down innovation
- How to impose oversight without being overly burdensome

Regulatory cooperation and compatibility

- Shared assessments (e.g. Canada FSANZ Pilot project for shared assessments)
- Exchange of information, parallel assessments (with developer consent)
- Publication of risk assessments (e.g. online, FAO GM foods platform)
- Collaborative work at multilateral fora (e.g. OECD, Codex)
- Intra-government collaboration and cooperation

Challenges:

- Need to build trust between countries
- Differing level or scope of oversight, legislative requirements
- Country specific contexts, especially for environmental release
- Working within different time constraints and deadlines for different countries

Potential solutions and ways forward

- Early and frequent engagement with developers
- Establish formal processes for shared/joint/parallel risk assessments
- Build trust with both the public and developers
- Transparent, predictable regulatory requirements (clear definitions and regulations, data requirements)
- Modernize legislation so that it can be amended easily/quickly
- Modernize legislation to allow more cooperation and collaboration
- Share experiences, develop resources and trainings
- Develop/use case studies to test out ideas and systems
- More informal conversations and workshops like these